

Patent  
Attorney's Docket No. 032674-140

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


In re Patent Application of )  
David J. Cocca ) Group Art Unit: 2124  
Application No.: 09/896,780 ) Examiner: TRENTON J ROCHE  
Filed: June 29, 2001 ) Confirmation No.: 8893  
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR )  
DYNAMICALLY MODIFYING A )  
STORED PROGRAM )

OK TO

ENTER ON

APPEAL

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

3/29/05

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 7, 2004, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims. The Examiner is thanked for his courteous discussion with Applicant's undersigned representative, during which he further explained his interpretation of the claim language relative to the disclosure of the *Koskal et al.* patent.

In the most recent Office Action, the rejection of all pending claims as being anticipated by the *Koskal et al.* patent was repeated. As pointed out in Applicant's previous response, the *Koskal et al.* patent does not anticipate the claim subject matter since it does not disclose, among other features, that the program to be corrected and the correction code are stored in the same memory, specifically the same electrically erasable programmable memory.

In response to this argument, the most recent Office Action asserts that there is no language in claim 1 that states that the program to be corrected and the patch code reside in